

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,170		9/06/2000	Motoyasu Taguchi	071671/0155	8925
22428	7590	04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY A	ND LARD	NER	LIU, SHUWANG		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2634	-	
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/656,170	TAGUCHI, MOTOYASU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Shuwang Liu	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	ebruary 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 17-24</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. St tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:					

'Application/Control Number: 09/656,170

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11, 12 and 22-24 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Drawings**

2. The substitute drawings including corrections are not accepted because the fax copy is illegible on the pages.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

'Application/Control Number: 09/656,170

Art Unit: 2634

4. Claims 1-4, 8, 11-15, 19 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Honda (US 5,970,084).

As discloses in figures 1-2, Honda discloses:

(1) regarding claims 1-4, 11-15 and 22-24:

a receiving terminal for CDMA system comprising at least a finger circuit (4, 9, 14) having a plurality of finger circuit elements for making a correlation of a received signal from a radio circuit connected to an antenna (1) and a known signal (PN) and feeding out the correlated received signal, and a lake circuit (20, 10, 21 and 22) for combining a plurality of outputs from a finger circuit elements and executing level measurement (19), wherein

the lake circuit includes a level judgment circuit for executing electric field judgment according to the correlated received signal from the finger circuit and a predetermined threshold level, an operation of at least one finger circuit element (4) being suspended for a fixed, predetermined time period according to the result of the level judgment (column 5, line 34-column 7, line 12) (note: operations from step 3 to step 8 have a fixed and predetermined time period for the CPU).

Furthermore, the operation of a clock supply to the at least one finger circuit is suspended as recited in claims 2-4 and 11-15 because the power of the finger circuit (4) is off.

(2) regarding claims 8 and 19:

the finger circuit takes correlation of output signal data fed out from the radio circuit and known signal data to each other, demodulates the correlated data to symbol

Application/Control Number: 09/656,170

Art Unit: 2634

unit data, and feeds out the demodulated data to the lake circuit (column 5, lines 19-60 and column 10, lines 32-57).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 7, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honda in view of Ishikura (US 5,239,684).

Honda disclose all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching a memory which is an E2PROM, and threshold data therefrom is supplied under CPU control to the lake circuit as claimed.

Ishikura et al., in the same field of endeavor, teaches a memory (107 in figure 1 and 2) which is an E2PROM, and threshold data therefrom is supplied under CPU (161 in figure 2) control to a circuit (column 4, lines 11-12 and column 8, lines 56-66).

It is well known that the area of an E2PROM cell is about one fifth of the area of a SAR cell so the area required by a given RAM on the semiconductor chip is greatly reduced, or RAM storage capacity can be increased. Furthermore, E2PROM setting value can be updated easily. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/656,170

Art Unit: 2634

skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ E2PROM as taught by Ishikura to store threshold value of the receiver of Honda in order to update stored value easily.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner

Sharang Ti.

Art Unit 2634

April 9, 2004